Subject No. 66.
Copyists for the Registration Department.

Mr. M. K. Krishna Murti Rao.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) There are no copyists at present in the Registration Department, and much delay is caused in obtaining copies of documents as the existing hands are overworked. This should be remedied by the appointment of copyists.

(*b*) Rates of transcription fee have not been fixed, and so in some offices excessive sums equal to the registration fee are levied.

Reply of Government.—The matter will be enquired into.

Subject No. 67.
The Arms Act:—Renewal of licenses and the collection of license fees.

Messrs. C. Srinivas Rao and M. Ramachendra Rao of Chikmagalur.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) Renewal of licenses for Arms every year by the District and Sub-Division Magistrates is attended with some difficulty owing to delay before the renewed licenses are received, which in some cases extends over six months. In the meantime guns are deposited in the Police Station. In malnad guns are absolutely necessary for protecting crops from wild animals. These licenses may therefore be granted once in ten years, recovering the usual fees to cover that period, and taluk Amildars may be vested with the powers of granting the licenses.

Messrs. Subbaraju of Bangalore and M. K. Krishna Murti Rao of Magadi.

(*b*) Respectable raiyats holding extensive land should be allowed to possess guns free of license.

Reply of Government.—The rules have already been modified so as to remedy the inconvenience complained of. See Government order No. 7880-915—J. 900, dated 11th January 1898.

Subject No. 68.
Selection of Munsiffs etc.

Mr. N. Srikantaiya of Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Competent lawyers may be selected for the post of Munsiffs, Amildars and Deputy Amildars.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

Subject No. 69.
Jurisdiction of Courts.

Mr. N. Srikantaiya of Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The jurisdictions of Munsiffs and Sub-Judges may be restored to their former limits, as their enhancement has caused inconvenience to parties. [Mr. Amble Annaiya Pandit opposed this proposal, adding that the enhancement of jurisdiction was sanctioned by Government at the request of the people.]

Reply of Government.—Not feasible.

Subject No. 70.
Civil Courts.

Mr. N. Srikantaiya of Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Certain Civil Courts in Mysore may be retransferred to the buildings where they had been formerly located.

Reply of Government.—This representation will be referred to the Chief Court.

Subject No. 71.
Sale of immovable property by Civil Courts.

Mr. Shamiah of Kankanhalli Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The present practice by which the immovable property of the judgment-debtor is sold by auction near the Court-house causes much loss to such debtor by the intended bidders not knowing the nature of the property. In modification of this practice, it is

requested that strict orders may be passed directing that such auction be held near the place where the property is situated.

Reply of Government.—Referred to the Chief Court.

Subject No. 72.
Central Nazarat System.
Messrs. N. Srikantaiya of Mysore City Municipality and B. Garudachar of Shimoga.

Summary of discussion.—It is requested that the Central Nazarat system may be abolished. The system does not work well, and deprives Courts of control over the process establishment.

Reply of Government.—Referred to the Chief Court for opinion.

Subject No. 73.
Sub-Registrars' Certificate on documents.
Mr. Shamiah of Kankanhalli Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Under the existing rules, Sub-Registrars, before whom documents are produced for registry only certify that the executants admit the execution of the documents. Orders may be passed requiring Sub-Registrars to certify not only the admission of the execution of the documents, but also the receipt of the amount in consideration of the sale or mortgage, &c., as the case may be, of the property specified therein.

Reply of Government.—Referred to the Inspector General of Registration. There is already a provision to this effect, *vide* section 58, clause (*c*), of the Registration Act.

LEGISLATION.

Subject No. 74.
Game Law.
Messrs. C. Vasudeva Rao of Chikmagalur and A. Sham Rao of North Mysore Native Planters' Association.

Summry of discussion.—(*a*) The license fee of Rs. 10 may be reduced as low as possible, say, to rupee one for shooting within the limits of a magani, Rs. 2 in a taluk and Rs. 5 in any part of the State. [Mr. Annaiya Pandit opposes any attempt to do away with licenses.]

(*b*) The old village mamul of shikar on certain festive occasions should not be interfered with.

(*c*) Permission should be given to shoot monkeys, which do much damage.

Reply of Government.—This will be dealt with in connection with the passing of the revised Game Rules, which are under consideration.

Subject No. 75.
Undesirability of Amildars being invested with Civil Jurisdiction as proposed in the Draft Amildars' Courts Regulation.
Messrs. B. Garudachar of Shimoga, Channagiri Ramiah of Shimoga, M. Ramachandra Rao of Chikmagalur, M. Venkatakrishniah and A. Anniah Pandit.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) It is very undesirable to invest the Amildars with civil jurisdiction in summary suits under section 9 of the Specific Relief Act as proposed in the new draft Amildars' Courts Regulation. The tendency nowadays is to deprive the executive of judicial functions as far as possible. As it is, the Amildars are given more than enough of powers, which, if not judiciously and honestly exercised, will make the poor raiyat complain very loudly, and to add to the existing powers by burdening them with civil functions is to make the poor raiyat suffer still more. Summary powers complicate matters by making it difficult to set right the decisions of the Amildars.